

# Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research

Volume 26, Issue 12, Page 26-38, 2024; Article no.AJFAR.126639 ISSN: 2582-3760

# Analysis of Plankton Abundance, Diversity and Dominance along the Siddo Coast in Barru Regency, Indonesia

# Andi Puspa Sari Idris a\*

<sup>a</sup> Department Aquaculture, Pangkep State Agricultural Polytechnic, Indonesia.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ajfar/2024/v26i12842

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126639

Received: 16/10/2024 Accepted: 11/11/2024 Published: 18/11/2024

Original Research Article

#### **ABSTRACT**

This research aims to determine the level of abundance, diversity, uniformity, and dominance of plankton in the waters of Siddo Beach, Barru Regency. The research was carried out at three research locations, namely location 1, namely the hatchery location and Siddo Beach Bay; location 2, namely the location around the pier and mangroves; and location 3, namely the location around the community settlement in Toe hamlet, Barru Regency. Plankton in this location needs to be researched because there are many environmental forces that can disrupt the existence of plankton. Analisis plankton dilaksanakan di Laboratorium Kimia dan Air Politeknik Pertanian Negeri Pangkep. Data selanjutnya dianalisis dengan analisis deskriptif. The results showed that the abundance of plankton at location 1 was highest for plankton of the *Navicula* sp type; at location 2, the highest was for plankton of the *Oscilatoria* sp type; and the abundance of plankton at location 3 was highest for plankton of the type *Acartia* sp. The average diversity of plankton at the research

\*Corresponding author: Email: andipuspa@gmail.com;

Cite as: Idris, Andi Puspa Sari. 2024. "Analysis of Plankton Abundance, Diversity and Dominance Along the Siddo Coast in Barru Regency, Indonesia". Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research 26 (12):26-38. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajfar/2024/v26i12842.

location is in the range of 0.1526795-0.20716, the uniformity of plankton at the research location is in the range 0.06144279-0.10646145, and the dominance of plankton at location 1 is highest in *Cosconidiscus* sp type plankton, location 2 is dominated by *Oscilatoria* sp type plankton, and location 3 is dominated by plankton of the *Acartis* sp type. Thus, there is no type of plankton that has the highest abundance and dominance for all research locations.

Keywords: Plankton; abundance; diversity; uniformity; dominance.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The sea is a highly diverse environment, with a wide range of marine biota, including fish, crabs, shellfish, algae, and different microscopic biota (Nontji, 2008). Marine ecosystems are a mixture of land and sea regimes that form a dynamic balance of each component (Thoha Rachman, 2007). The part of the ocean closest to land is usually called the coast. Coastal areas are areas that are very intensive for human activities, such as central government areas, residential areas, industry, ports, aquaculture, tourism, and others (Dahuri, 2001). This causes coastal areas to become potential and vulnerable to environmental damage. This damage is caused by increasing human activities that produce polluting waste, both from industrial waste and other human activities (Evita et al., 2021). Coastal areas have large resource potential that can be developed including economic and ecological aspects that must be managed appropriately and sustainably to maintain environmental sustainability (Putri et al., 2023). Furthermore, the level of fertility of marine waters is closely related to the high concentration of nutrients in the water column. High nutrient availability causes a nutrient enrichment process called upwelling. This process stimulates the growth of phytoplankton, which plays a very important role in marine ecosystems (Tambaru, 2008).

"Plankton are drifting organisms (animals, plants, archaea, or bacteria) that occupy the pelagic zone in oceans, seas, or fresh water, can be used as bioindicators to determine the primary productivity of waters because they act as producers" (Rahmatiza et al.,2020), microscopic organisms that have a very important role, namely as the basis of life, especially in pelagic aquatic life, which is classified into two types, namely phytoplankton and zooplankton (Novrilianty et al., 2022), Because plankton are aquatic animals that float and have extremely poor swimming abilities, the water currents around them have a significant impact on their motions (Silabanet al. 2022).

Plankton is also a biological component that is used to determine changes in water quality that are influenced by these conditions (Evita et al., 2022)as a natural food source for the larvae of aquatic creatures. Furthermore, plankton is mostly produced by phytoplankton, and the main consumers are zooplankton (Odum, 1998), and as primary producers, phytoplankton have the ability to utilize sunlight as an energy source in their life activities, while zooplankton act as primary consumers by utilizing energy sources produced by primary producer (Anderson et al., 2010, Gibbs et al, 2020, Odum, 2000). The presence of plankton in waters can be used as a bioindicator for assessing the condition of these waters (Kartikasari, 2013). Plankton usually flows with ocean currents. Plankton are also usually called biota that live in the pelagic zone and float, drift, or swim very quickly, meaning they cannot fight the current 2005). Plankton (Rohmimohtarto, is of the aquatic biota that has a very important ecological role in aquatic ecosystems (Salamah et al., 2018). Plankton divided into two, namely phytoplankton and zooplankton.

Phytoplankton are plants that live or float in water (Mukayat, 1994). Plankton has a very important ecological role in supporting life in waters One of the important (Pramudji, 2010). microorganisms that plays a major role as primary producers is phytoplankton (Odum, 1996, Yuliana, 2015). Phytoplankton generally be used as a bioindicator to monitor water conditions, pollution, and eutrophication. Phytoplankton accounts for approximately half of global primary production and is the main autotrophic organism in the photosynthesis process that it carries out produces oxygen and becomes a source of energy for the marine biota food chain (Diniariwisan and Rahmadani, 2024). Even though they are microscopic in size, their abundant amounts can become the basic foundation of the food pyramid (Faturohman et al., 2016). Phytoplankton are microscopic organisms that float in water and are autotrophs or capable of producing organic materials from inorganic materials through the process of photosynthesis with the help of light (Nybakken, 1982). Phytoplankton have a role as primary producers in aquatic ecosystems (Hutabarat and Evans, 1985). About 95% of primary production in the sea comes from phytoplankton (Nielsen, 1975). Enrichment of organic pollutants in waters is one of the factors that triggers the growth of phytoplankton, where the input of organic materials from anthropogenic activities on land will trigger algal blooms (Makmur, 2012).

Marine fisheries cultivation is currently starting to develop rapidly in line with the decreasing number of marine fisheries catches. The marine aquaculture sector is expected to become the largest supplier of products to the marine and fisheries sectors in the future. Efforts to improve mariculture activities are increasingly being encouraged, including efforts to optimize its other roles as a conservation area. In relation to the development of mariculture, the existence of plankton is very important. Barru waters are a coastal area that has the potential for plankton. These conditions cause Barru waters to have abundant types of aquatic biota ranging from fish, shellfish, snails, and others (Nedham and Nedham, 1963) To carry out the fish farming process, it is necessary to identify the presence of plankton in the surrounding waters.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was conducted on the coast of Siddo Beach, Barru Regency, Indonesia. The research was carried out from July to September 2024. The research was carried out at three research locations, namely location 1, namely the hatchery location and Siddo Beach Bay; location 2, namely the location around the pier and mangroves; and location 3, namely the location around the community settlement in Toe hamlet, Barru Regency. "Plankton analysis was carried out at the Chemistry and Water Laboratory of the Pangkep State Agricultural Polytechnic. This research is descriptive observational research. The research began by taking 100 L of water at the surface (30 cm) at each predetermined research location, then filtering the water using a plankton net 25. After filtering, the water sample was transferred to a Winkler bottle and treated with 1% formalin. The water samples were then immediately identified for the type and amount of plankton based on the plankton identification key book" (Nedham and

Nedham, 1963). In order to identify plankton, a 1 ml water sample is taken with a pipette and then dropped onto the sedgewick rafter. A 40x10 magnification microscope was used to make the observations (Grace Analytical Lab, 1994). The abundance, species diversity, species uniformity, and dominance index of the collected plankton samples were computed.

#### 2.1 Plankton Abundance

The following formula is used to determine plankton abundance (APHA, 1980):

Where:

N = Abundance of plankton (ind/l)

Vd = Volume of filtered water (10 l)

Vt = Volume of filtered water (30 ml)

Ja = Receptacle of wide (1000 mm<sup>2</sup>)

Jb = Total area of visual field analyzed (100 mm²)

Vs = Volume of water analyzed (3 ml)

F = Number of biota found (ind)

#### 2.2 Diversity of Types

The diversity is analyzed using the following formula (Mason, 2002):

$$H' = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (Pi) \ln(Pi)$$

Where:

H' = Diversity of types

Pi = Proportion of the 1st type in the community (ni/N)

In = Number of i-th species

N = The total number of all species

Diversity Index (H) values range between:

0<H1<2,3 = Little diversity

2,3<H1<6,9 = Medium diversity

H1>6,9 = Great diversity

# 2.3 Uniformity Index

The uniformity index can be calculated using the formula Arinardiet al., 1996:

Where:

e = Uniformity index

H' = Diversity index

H' maks = In number of genera (s) for phytoplankton log<sup>2</sup> number of genera (s) for zooplankton

Criteria According to Krebs, 1978 :

e < 0,4 = Low category

0.4 < e < 0.6 = Medium category

e > 0,6 = High category

#### 2.4 Dominance Index

Meanwhile, the dominance index is analyzed using the following formula Odum, 1994:

n
$$C = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (ni/N)^2$$

Where

C = Dominance Index

Ni = Number of individuals of type i

N = Total number of individuals

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1 Number of Plankton

Fig. 1 shows that at location 1, the most common types of plankton found were Navicula sp with 26, followed by Tintinopsis sp with Chroococcus sp and Nichia sp with 4 each, Cosconidiscus sp with 3, and the others, respectively each has 1 cell/mL. Fig. 2 shows that at location 2, the most common type of plankton found was Oscilatoria sp with 20, followed by Navicula sp with 16. Nichia sp with 4. Cosconidiscus sp with 3, and the others with 1 cell/ml each. Fig. 3 shows that at location 3, the most common type of plankton found was Acartia sp with 17, followed by Copepoda sp with 11, Navicula sp with 7, Synedra sp with 2, and the others with 1 cell/ml each. Figs. 1-3 also shows that location 1 and the other locations have the highest number of different types phytoplankton.




Fig. 1. Number of plankton at Location 1




Fig. 2. Number of plankton at Location 2




Fig. 3. Number of plankton at Location 3

#### 3.2 Plankton Abundance

The large number of Bacillariophyceae classes in waters is due to their ability to adapt to their environment, are cosmopolitan, resistant to extreme conditions, and have high reproductive power (Odum, 1998). The abundance of plankton in a body of water is influenced by several environmental parameters and physiological characteristics. Plankton abundance will change at various levels in response to changes in physical, chemical and biological environmental conditions (Sahab, 1986). Fig. 4 shows that the

abundance of plankton at location 1 is highest in plankton of the *Navicula* sp type, namely 1300 cel/ml, followed by *Oscilatoria* sp at 800 cel/ml, *Tintinopsis* sp at 300 cel/ml, *Chroococcus* sp and *Nichia* sp at 200 cel/ml each, *Cosconidiscus* sp 150 cel/ml, *Chlorococcum* sp 100 cel/ml, and *Pleurosigma* sp, *Acartia* sp, *Microspora* sp, and *Eutintinnus* sp 50 cel/ml each. Fig. 5 shows that the abundance of plankton at location 2 is highest in the *Oscilatoria* sp type plankton, namely 1000 cel/ml, followed by the *Navicula* sp type plankton, namely 800 cel/ml, *Nichia* sp, namely 200 cel/ml, *Cosconidiscus* sp, namely

150 cel/ml. Tintinopsis sp is 100 cel/ml. and plankton types Thalassiothrix sp. Synedra sp. Spirulina sp. Melosira sp. Chroococcus sp. and Chlorococcum sp are 50 cel/ml each. Fig. 6 shows that the abundance of plankton at location 3 is highest in plankton of the Acartia sp type, namely 850 cel/ml, followed by plankton of the Copepoda sp type, namely 550 cel/ml, Navicula sp, namely 350 cel/ml, Synedra sp, namely 100 cel/ml, and the lowest in the plankton of Merismopedia Diatoma sp. sp, and Cosconidiscus respectively. 50 sp, was cel/ml.

"The abundance of plankton in a body of water is influenced by several environmental parameters physiological characteristics. composition and abundance of plankton will change at various levels in response to changes physical. chemical, and biological environmental conditions" (Simarmata, 2012). The abundance of plankton in waters is very dependent on the nutrient levels and water quality conditions of the aquatic environment (Wilson, 2018). "Plankton structures will be more varied and plentiful in seas with more nutrients, and vice versa, plankton abundance will be very low in areas with less nutrients" (Monteiro et al., 2016). This abundance can be an indicator of the status of the waters studied (Diniariwisan and Rahmadani, 2023). "The abundance of plankton will also have an impact on the level of dissolved oxygen production in waters during the day" (Ariadi et al., 2020). "The type of phytoplankton will have a vital impact on the production of dissolved oxygen and the distribution of community diversity in waters" (Wafi et al., 2021). So the presence of plankton and nutrients can be used as a limiting factor in the level of aquatic productivity (Ariadi et al., 2021). "Primary productivity is the amount of organic material produced by autotrophic organisms such as plankton through the process of photosynthesis with the help of sunlight" (Suprabawati et al., 2019).

## 3.3 Diversity index

Fig. 7 shows that the average plankton diversity at the research location is in the range of 0.1526795-0.20716, with the highest diversity at location 3, namely 0.20716, followed by location 2, namely 0.15333 and the lowest diversity at location 1, namely 0.1526795. The results of research (Evitaet al., 2021) show that plankton diversity in Sayung Beach waters ranges between 1.58 and 2.45, meaning that diversity is

classified as medium diversity. This value describes the stability of the plankton community in a fairly stable condition. The plankton evenness index value at the research location is relatively high (>0.6) or close to 1, which indicates that there is no dominant species. A moderate diversity index means that there is no indication of pollution, especially that caused by an excess of certain nutrients (Asiddiqi et al., 2019). Environmental elements like nitrogen availability and phytoplankton's level adaptation can have an impact on diversity and dominance indices (Wiyarsih al., 2019)The species that inhabit the habitat have a significant impact on species diversity, which will impact the number attained. Furthermore, low diversity values suggest a less diversified group of species in these watery settings (Rizal et al.,2017). Environmental factors, such as the availability of nutrients like phosphate and nitrate and the capacity of each form of phytoplankton to adapt to the environment, can have an impact on the diversity and dominance index values (Hamunaet al., 2018).

Mariculture areas are very fertile because they are supported by optimal environmental factors that allow for the abundance of plankton in this area. This situation binds ecological relations (Odum,1996). This ecological relationship is one of the factors in the emergence of various types of fish. These fish can be utilized by seaweed farmers to provide added value to cultivation activities (Sulma and dan Manoppo, 2008).

#### 3.4 Uniformity Index

Fig. 8 shows that the plankton uniformity at the research location is in the range 0.06144279-0.10646145, with the highest plankton uniformity being at location 3, namely 0.10646145 and the lowest at location 1, namely 0.06144279. The uniformity index in this study is relatively low. A uniformity index that is close to zero tends to indicate an unstable community, whereas if it is close to a community in a stable state, the number of individuals between species is the same (Yunita and Isnaini, 2012). According to research findings (Halipatulfikri and Utami, 2020). there were only two categories for each uniformity index value: medium station's uniformity and high uniformity. The population exhibits uniformity when the uniformity index value is higher, indicating that the number of individuals per species is equal or dispersed uniformly (Pasengo, 1995). The plankton uniformity index value in Tlogo Dringo is 0.4≤E≤0.6, indicating moderate uniformity or similar types of aquatic biota in the medium category. A moderate uniformity index shows that each type of plankton is distributed evenly throughout the observation location. This makes it possible that there are still species that have

not been distributed well so that they experience pressure that can reduce their survival or, conversely, can dominate the waters. It is hoped that this condition will continue to change by carrying out routine monitoring to determine the factors that cause dominance in certain locations and minimizing the occurrence of these factors (Anggara, 2017).




Fig. 4. Plankton Abundance Location 1




Fig. 5. Plankton Abundance Location 2




Fig. 6. Plankton Abundance Location 3




Fig. 7. Plankton Diversity at the Research Location

# 3.5 Dominance Index (ni/N) = pi)

Fig. 9 shows that the dominance of plankton at location 1 is highest in plankton of the Cosconidiscus sp type, namely 3, followed by plankton of the *Navicula* sp type, namely 0.39, *Oscilatoria* sp, namely 0.24, *Tintinopsis* sp, namely 0.09, *Chroococcus* sp and *Nichia* sp, each 0.06, *Chrolococcus* sp, namely 0.03, and plankton types *Pleurosigma* sp, *Copepoda* sp, *Acartia* sp, *Microspora* sp, and *Eutintinnus* sp, each 0.02. Fig. 10 shows that the plankton dominance in location 2 is highest in *Oscilatoria* sp type plankton, namely 0.392, followed by

Navicula sp type plankton, namely 0.314, Nichia sp, namely 0.078, Cosconidiscus sp, namely 0.059, Tintinopsis sp, namely 0.039, and plankton types Thalassiothrix sp, Synedra sp., Spirulina sp, Melosira sp, Chroococcus sp, Chlorococcum sp, each 0.02. Fig. 11 shows that the dominance of plankton at location 3 is highest in plankton of the Acartis sp type, namely 0.425, followed by plankton of the Copepoda sp type, namely 0.275, Navicula sp, namely 0.175, Synedra sp, namely 0.05, and plankton, each type Merismopedia sp, Diatoma sp, and Cosconidiscus sp each are 0.025.




Fig. 8. Plankton Uniformity Index at the Research Location




Fig. 9. Plankton Dominance at Location 1

The Simpson dominance index, which has a value range of 0 to 1, is the dominance index utilized in this study. High dominance is indicated by an index value near 1, while low dominance or no dominating species is indicated by an index value near 0 (Krebs, 1978). A species dominance index value that is close to 0 indicates that in the community there is no dominant organism, whereas if the dominance index value is close to 1, it indicates that the community has a dominant organism (Kusmeri

and Rosanti, 2015). A dominance value close to one indicates that in the community there is a genus that dominates other genera; conversely, if the value is close to zero, it indicates that the community structure does not have a genus that extremely dominates other genera (Pirzan and Rani, 2008) if human activity has an influence on the observed water conditions, which are causing an increase in the number of species so that they dominate these waters (Anggita et al., 2012).




Fig. 10. Plankton Dominance at Location 2




Fig. 11. Plankton Dominance at Location 3

# 4. CONCLUSION

Plankton is a primary community that is really needed in aquaculture. In the coastal waters of Siddo Beach, Barru Regency, the abundance of plankton at location 1 is highest for plankton of the Navicula sp type; at location 2, it is highest for plankton of the Oscilatoria sp type; and the abundance of plankton at location 3 is highest for plankton of the type Acartia sp. The average diversity of plankton at the research location is in

the range of 0.1526795-0.20716, the uniformity of plankton at the research location is in the 0.06144279-0.10646145. range and the dominance of plankton at location 1 is highest in Cosconidiscus sp type plankton, location 2 is dominated by Oscilatoria sp type plankton, and location 3 is dominated by plankton of the Acartis sp type. Thus, it can be stated that the Siddo coastal waters of Barru Regency are still fertile suitable for fisheries waters and are development.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

The author has stated that there is no competition interest. Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

My sincere thanks go to my colleagues who participated, as well as the Director and Chair of the Pangkep State Agricultural Polytechnic Research Institute for all their assistance so that this research could run well.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Anderson, D. M., Reguera, B., Pitcher, G. C., & Enevoldsen, H. O. (2010). The IOC International Harmful Bloom Program: History and science impacts.
- Anggara, A. P., Kartijono, N. E., & Bodijantoro, P. M. H. (2017). Plankton diversity in the Tlogo Dringo Nature Reserve Area, Dieng Plateau, Central Java. *Journal of MIPA*, 40(2), 74–79.
- Anggita, W. T., Churun Ain, & Haeruddin. (2012). Nitrate and orthophosphate concentrations in the West Flood Canal River Estuary and their relation to the abundance of harmful algae blooms (HABs) phytoplankton. Indonesian Journal of Fisheries Science and Technology, 12(1).
- APHA. (1980). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater (4th ed.). American Public Health Association.
- Ariadi, H., Pandaingan, I. A. H., Soeprijanto, A., Maemunah, Y., & Wafi, A. (2020). Effectiveness of using pakcoy (*Brassica rapa* L.) and kailan (*Brassica oleracea*) plants as vegetable media for aquaponic culture of tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.). *Journal of Aquaculture Development and Environment (JADE*), 3(2), 156–162.
- Ariadi, H., Wafi, A., Musa, M., & Supriatna. (2021). Relationship between water quality parameters in intensive cultivation of white shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). *Samakia: Journal of Fisheries Science*, 12(1), 18–27.

- Arinardi, O. H., Trimaningsih, S. H., & Asnaryanti, E. (1996). Range of abundance and predominant plankton composition in the waters of the Central Region of Indonesia. Center for Oceanology Research and Development-LIPI.
- Asiddiqi, H. G., Piranti, A. S., & Riyanto, E. A. (2019). The relationship between water quality and phytoplankton abundance at the eastern part of Segara Anakan Cilacap, Central Java. *BioEksakta: Unsoed Biology Scientific Journal*, 1(2), 1. https://doi.org/10.20884/1.bioe.2019.1.2.17 61
- Dahuri, R. (2001). Spatial management of coastal and marine areas is in line with the implementation of regional autonomy. *Journal of Social and Development, 17*(2), 139–171.
  - https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v17i2.38
- Diniariwisan, D., & Rahmadani, T. B. C. (2023). Lombok Barat: The abundance and community structure of phytoplankton in Senggigi. *Jurnal Pesisir*, 13(2), 387– 395.
- https://doi.org/10.29303/jp.v13i2.504
- Diniariwisan, D., & Rahmadani, T. B. C. (2024). Composition of abundance and community structure of phytoplankton in the Sekotong Beach area, West Nusa Tenggara. *Journal of Ganec Swara, 18*(1), 342–347.
- Evita, I. N. M., Hariyati, R., & Hidayat, J. W. (2021). The abundance and diversity of plankton as water quality bioindicator in Sayung Coast Waters, Demak Regency, Central Java. *Bioma*, 23(1), 25–32.
- Faturohman, I., Sunarto, & Nurruhwati, I. (2016). Correlation of plankton abundance and sea water temperature around the Cirebon PLTU. *Journal of Marine Fisheries*, 7(1), 115–122.
- Gibbs, S. J., Bown, P. R., Ward, B. A., Alvarez, S. A., Kim, H., Archontikis, O. A., Sauterey, B., Poulton, A. J., Wilson, J., & Ridgwell, A. (2020). Algal plankton turn to hunting to survive and recover from end-Cretaceous impact darkness. *Science Advances*, *6*, 1–11.
- Grace Analytical Lab. (1994). Standard operating procedure for phytoplankton sample collection and preservation. Chicago: 536 South Clark Street, 10th Floor.
- Halipatulfikri, W. A., & Utami, E. (2020). Kajian environmental parameters on the abundance of mangrove crabs (*Scylla* sp.) in the waters of Muara Semembu, Tuik

- Village, West Bangka Regency. *Journal of Aquatic Resources*, 14(1).
- Hamuna, B., Tanjung, H. R., Suwito, Maury, H., & Alianto. (2018). Study of sea water quality and pollution index based on physico-chemical parameters in Depapre Waters, Jayapura. Journal of Environmental Science, 16(1), 35–43.
- Hutabarat, S., & Evans, S. M. (1985).

  Introduction to oceanography. Jakarta: UI
  Press.
- Jafar, J. (2019). Biodiversity and dominance of the fish which is associated with seaweed cultivation and floating net at Barru Regency Waters, South Sulawesi. *Tropical Galung Journal*, 8(1), 26–34. http://dx.doi.org/10.31850/jgt.v8i1.383
- Kartikasari, S. N. (2013). *Papua ecology*. Jakarta: Pustaka Obor Indonesia.
- Krebs, C. J. (1978). *Ecology: The experimental analysis of distribution and abundance*. Harper & Row.
- Kusmeri, L., & Rosanti, D. (2015). Zooplankton community structure in Lake Opi Jakabaring, Palembang. Zooplankton Community Structure, 12(1).
- Makmur, M., Kusnoputranto, H., Moersidik, S. S., & Wisnubroto, S. D. (2012). The influence of organic waste and N/P ratio on phytoplankton abundance in the Cilincing green mussel cultivation area. Jakarta: BATAN.
- Mason, C. F. (2002). Biology of fresh water pollution (4th ed.). Pearson Education Ltd.
- Monteiro, F. M., Bach, L. T., Brownlee, C., Bown, P., Rickaby, R. E. M., Poulton, A. J., Tyrell, T., Beufort, L., Dutkiewicz, S., Gibbs, S., Gutowska, M. A., Lee, R., Riebesell, U., Young, J., & Ridgwell, A. (2016). Why marine phytoplankton calcify. *Science Advances*, 2(7), 1-16.
- Mukayat. (1994). *Basic zoology.* Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Nedham, G. J., & Nedham, P. R. (1963). *A guide to the study of freshwater biology*. Holden Day, Inc.
- Nielsen, E. S. (1975). Marine photosynthesis with special emphasis on the ecological. Amsterdam: Elsevier Scientific.
- Nontji, A. (2008). *Marine plankton*. Jakarta: LIPI Press.
- Novrilianty, H., Hudatwi, M., & Utami, E. (2022). The diversity of plankton species as an indicator of water quality in the waters of Batu Belubang Beach and Panjang Island, Central Bangka Regency. *Fisheries Journal*, 12(3), 333–345.

- Nybakken, J. W. (1982). *Marine biology: An ecological approach*. New York: HarperCollins College Publishers.
- Odum, E. P. (1994). Basics of ecology. Gadjahmada University Press.
- Odum, E. P. (1996). *Basics of ecology*. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University Press.
- Odum, E. P. (1998). *Basics of ecology* (3rd ed.). Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Odum, E. P. (2000). Fundamentals of ecology (3rd ed.). Yogyakarta: UGM Press. Isnansetyo.
- Pasengo, Y. L. (1995). Study of the impact of plywood factory waste on the abundance and diversity of phytoplankton in Dangkang Waters, Barowa Village, Bua District, Luwu Regency. *Marine Science and Technology* Study Program. Hasanuddin University, Makassar.
- Pirzan, A. M., & Rani, P. (2008). Relationship between phytoplankton diversity and water quality on Bauluang Island, Takalar Regency, South Sulawesi. *Biodiversitas*, 9(3), 217–221.
- Pramudji. (2010). *The role of plankton in water*. Jakarta: Pengetahuan Indonesia.
- Putri, A. D. R., Sartimbul, A., & Yuniarti, A. (2023). Plankton community composition and water quality in Gili Ketapang, Probolinggo Regency, East Java. *Journal of Research in Science Education*, 9(11), 9290–9299.
  - https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i11.5516
- Rahmatiza, Y., Lase, Y., & Yulmila. (2020). Diversity of plankton types in the waters of Balee Deudap Beach, Pulo Aceh, Aceh Besar Regency. *Proceedings of the National Seminar on Biotics*, 229–231.
- Rizal, A. C., Ihsan, Y. N., Afrianto, E., & Yuliadi, L. P. S. (2017). Nutrient status approach to sediments to measure macrozoobenthos community structure in the river estuary and coastal areas of Rancabuaya Beach, Garut Regency. *Journal of Fisheries and Marine Affairs*, 8(2).
- Rohmimohtarto. (2005). *Planktonology, science of marine biota*. Jakarta: Djembatan.
- Sahab, A. Z. (1986). Comparative study of the distribution of planktonic fry, especially benthic invertebrates from the Goba-Goba of Pari Island, Jakarta. PT. Waca Utama Pramesti.
- Salamah, S., Mentari, D., Ariska, D., & Ahad, R. (2018). Abundance of plankton in the waters of Nipah Beach, Gampong Rabo Pulo Aceh, Aceh Besar Regency.

- Proceedings of the National Seminar on Biotics, 418–424.
- Silaban, S. M., Thamrin, & Siregar, S. H. (2022). Abundance of phytoplankton and primary productivity levels in the waters of Kasiak Island, West Sumatera Province. *Journal of Coastal and Ocean Sciences*, 3 (2).
- Simarmata, P. (2012). Abundance of plankton and aquatic plants (Practical report on plankton and aquatic plants).
- Smith, G. M. (1981). The freshwater algae of the United States (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company. Elements of marine ecology. London: Butterworths. 1950
- Sulma, S., & Manoppo, A. K. S. (2008). Physical suitability of waters for seaweed cultivation in Bali waters using remote sensing data. *PIT MAPIN XVII*, 467–476.
- Suprabawati, A., Hardian, A., & Al Ghifari, E. (2019). Dynamics and primary productivity of the Citarum River, West Java Province. *Ecotrophic*, 13(1), 20–28.
- Tambaru, R. (2008). Phytoplankton community dynamics in relation to aquatic productivity in Maros Coastal Waters, South Sulawesi (Doctoral dissertation). Bogor Agricultural Institute, Bogor.
- Thoha, H., & Rachman, A. (2007). Abundance and spatial distribution of plankton

- communities in Banggai Islands waters. Journal of Tropical Marine Science and Technology, 5(1), 145–161.
- Wafi, A., Ariadi, H., Muqsith, A., Mahmudi, M., & Fadjar, M. (2021). Oxygen consumption of *Litopenaeus vannamei* in intensive ponds based on the dynamic modeling system. *Journal of Aquaculture and Fish Health*, 10(1), 17–24.
- Wilson, J. D., Monteiro, F. M., Schmidt, D. N., Ward, B. A., & Ridgwell, A. (2018). Linking marine plankton ecosystems and climate: A new modeling approach to the warm early Eocene climate. *Paleoclimatology*, 33, 1439–1452.
- Wiyarsih, B., Endrawati, H., & Sedjati, S. (2019).

  Composition and abundance of phytoplankton in Segara Anakan Lagoon, Cilacap. *Marina Oceanographic Bulletin*, 8(1), 1.
- https://doi.org/10.14710/buloma.v8i1.21974
- Yuliana. (2015). Distribution and community structure of phytoplankton in Jailolo Waters, West Halmahera. *Journal of Aquatics, VI*(1), 41–48. http://jurnal.unpad.ac.id/akuatika/article/vie w/5963/3127
- Yunita, V. M. R. A., & Isnaini. (2012). Community structure and distribution of phytoplankton in the Sungsang Waters of South Sumatra. *Maspari Journal*, 4(1).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/126639